**STRATEGIC CONSERVATION THROUGH GREEN** INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

> Implications for Lyme disease

Matt Nicholson, PhD, EPA Region 3

### **A Changing Landscape**

Since European settlement we have lost more than 50% of our wetland acreage.

Since 1992 Region 3 has lost approximately 80,000 acres of forest annually.

projecting to 2020, we will have lost over 2 million acres of forest and 150,000 acres of wetland.

 Developed land area is projected to increase to 5.2 million acres from 2.9 million acres

## Ecological Impacts of Landscape Change

Degradation remaining natural landscape components : fragmentation of forests, encroachment into riparian buffers, air quality impacts leading to further natural loss Loss of ecosystem services carbon and nutrient cycling, sediment trapping, biodiversity, flood mitigation, etc.

# Economic and Social Impacts of Landscape Change

- Loss of Services Provided by Natural Systems = Increased Costs for Services to Dispersed Development
- Loss of Productive Farm and Forest Land, tourism revenue
  Decreased Sense of Community: "Anywhere USA"
  Human Health; Quality of Life





### **Epiphanies lead to new approaches!**

Headline: We are discovering polluted streams faster than we can clean them!

**Region III Rivers and Streams Trend Analysis** 





### **State Green Infrastructure Efforts**



### **Green Infrastructure**

"Strategically planned and managed networks of natural lands, working landscapes and other open spaces that conserve ecosystem values & functions and provide associated benefits to human populations."





# Lyme Disease Risk and Land Conservation



### **Potential Human Risk Factors**

- Entomological Risk
  - + Density of nymphal *I. scapularis*+ Infection of tick populations with *B. burgdorferi*
- Ecological Risk
  - + Habitat composition
  - + Distance to "conducive tick habitat" edges
  - + Landscape structure

### What about Scale?



1 m

#### 100s m

#### 1000s m





Sampling Locations
Type of Habitat
Amount of Habitat
Accessibility of Habitat



#### **Tick Abundance**

- No ticks observed
  - < 10 nymphs / hr
  - 10 50 nymphs / hr

> 50 nymphs / hr



#### Nymphal Deer Tick Densities





#### Nymphal Deer Tick Densities

Low Moderate High





### **Distribution of Lyme Disease**

#### Lyme Disease Cases

 $\ast$ 

 $\ast$ 

 $\ast$ 

#### **Control Population**

### **Logistic Model**

Variable	Parameter estimate	S.E.	Wald $\chi^2$	Ρ
Intercept	-0.61	0.33	3.4	0.064
Nymphs per hour	0.0068	0.00087	61.2	0.0001
Distance to roads (km)	0.42	0.14	9.1	0.0025
Distance to coast (km)	-0.085	0.0083	106.0	0.0001
Total edge (km)	1.38	0.098	197.9	0.0001
Urban/Built-up	-1.04	0.31	11.4	0.0007
Agriculture	1.14	0.69	2.7	0.097
Brush Land	-4.24	1.90	5.0	0.025

concordant responses = 84.6% Sensitivity = 75.3%, Specificity = 80.0%

# Lyme Disease Risk



### Green Infrastructure Approach Providing Strategic "Context"

00000

00000



Source: Green Infrastructure Center

00

**D** 

n 0

# Implications

Variable	To Reduce Lyme Disease Risk	Goals of GI PLANING
Nymphs per hour		
Distance to roads (km)		yes
Urban/Built-up	+++++	yes
Total edge (km)		yes

Lyme Disease Risk and Land Conservation Projecting the Future Laura Jackson, U. S. EPA

Peter Claggett, U.S.G.S.

### Conclusions

- The Goal of the Green Infrastructure approach is to strategically plan for conservation across a landscape
- Ticks populations are synchronous at large scales suggesting management should be done at the landscape scale
- Managing for Green Infrastructure appears to be compatible with managing to reduce Lyme disease risk.
- How do we plan Green Infrastructure to specifically reduce risk?

# ASK ME ABOUT MAGICoP